

## 2019 Call for Submissions of Academic Progress Monitoring Tools

The **National Center on Intensive Intervention (NCII)** is funded by the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to build capacity of state and local education agencies, universities, practitioners, and other stakeholders to support implementation of intensive intervention in reading, mathematics, and behavior for students with severe and persistent learning and/or behavioral needs.

Rigorous research has shown that educating students with disabilities who require intensive intervention due to persistent learning and/or behavioral problems, whom teachers find among the hardest to teach, begins with a validated instruction platform that is “personalized” using data-based individualization (DBI). NCII believes that DBI is the engine that powers a dynamic, continuous interplay between assessment and intervention until the student demonstrates a satisfactory response.

The primary goals of this call are:

- to solicit information about existing academic progress monitoring tools;
- to evaluate the quality of the evidence that demonstrates efficacy for these progress monitoring tools; and subsequently,
- to provide technical assistance to participating stakeholders for successful implementation of them.

NCII will share information about evidence-based progress monitoring assessments that are identified through this call with an array of partners including state and local education agencies, institutions of higher education, technical assistance centers and professional development providers, parent centers, and relevant professional organizations.

The submission deadline for academic and behavior progress monitoring tools is **October 14, 2019**.

### New Online Submission Portal

To facilitate the 2019 call for academic progress monitoring tools, NCII is rolling out a new online submission portal that will streamline the submission and review process. This customized platform will allow submitters to provide data using a fillable, dynamic form. The shift to an online submission system allows NCII to make substantial improvements to its review process. This shift also benefits submitters in the following ways:

- Submitters will receive instant confirmation of receipt by NCII.
- The submission form is fully 508-compliant and supports file attachments.
- Submitters will be notified automatically when interim results and final results are available.

- Returning submitters with tools featured on our charts will gain access to data from prior submissions.<sup>1</sup>
- Submitters can revise and resubmit submission forms they have previously created. This system eliminates the version control issues inherent to the Word/PDF protocols that were previously required for review.

The online submission portal can be accessed at <https://trcreview.intensiveintervention.org>; submitters must create an account to use the new system. **If you are a vendor with one or more tools(s) currently on our academic progress monitoring chart, we ask that you register for an account - even if you are not submitting this year - so we can link you to your historical data.** Instructions are embedded throughout the form, and submitters may direct questions about the new portal or submission form to [ToolsChartHelp@air.org](mailto:ToolsChartHelp@air.org).

## Criteria for Review of Progress Monitoring Tools

For the purposes of this call, the NCII defines progress monitoring as follows.

*The National Center on Intensive Intervention defines progress monitoring as repeated measurement of student performance over the course of intervention to index/quantify responsiveness to intervention and to thus determine, on an ongoing basis, when adjustments to the program are needed to improve responsiveness. When the need for a program adjustment is determined, supplementary data sources (e.g., functional behavior assessments, diagnostic academic assessments, informal observations, work samples) or more fine-grained data available within the repeated measurement samples are used to decide the most productive strategies for altering intervention. The purpose of this progress monitoring is to design an individualized intervention that optimizes student outcomes.*

Evidence-based progress monitoring tools in accordance with this definition and meeting the specifications that follow, are invited to respond to this call.

For detailed information on the review standards and rating criteria see the Academic Progress Monitoring Rating Rubric on our website here: <http://www.intensiveintervention.org/tools-chart-review-process>.

### Academic Progress Monitoring Tools Criteria

Submissions of academic progress monitoring tools must meet the following criteria:

1. Measure must target academic functioning.
2. Measure must involve formative assessment (i.e. repeated administration), with the intended purpose of progress monitoring.
3. Measure must include, but is not limited to, monitoring of individual student behavior.

---

<sup>1</sup> Returning submitters must register for an account and email [ToolsChartHelp@air.org](mailto:ToolsChartHelp@air.org) to gain access to historical data.

4. Evidence supporting the effects of the measure under consideration must be **direct** evidence; indirect evidence, or data collected on tools similar to the tool being submitted, will not be accepted.
5. Evidence of reliability and validity must be provided for each grade level being reviewed.

Center staff will review submissions upon receipt to ensure that these minimum criteria are met. Only submissions that are determined to meet all five criteria will be assigned for review.

## Review Process

The TRC review process consists of the four steps below. For a detailed explanation, visit our website: <http://www.intensiveintervention.org/tools-chart-review-process>

1. All submissions will be checked for completeness by NCII staff. Required documentation must accompany the protocol in order to be reviewed by the TRC.
2. All complete submissions will undergo a review process by the Center's Technical Review Committee of nationally renowned experts on academic progress monitoring. For further information about the committee members and their roles in the review process, please visit our website.
3. The review process will be conducted in two phases. Submitters will be notified of initial results and comments after the first phase of review. If presented evidence is found to be insufficient after the first phase, submitters may submit additional evidence or clarification. This additional information will be used to re-review and finalize results during the second phase of review. **Once the review has begun, withdrawal will not be permitted.**
4. Final TRC decisions as to the technical adequacy of submitted tools will be disseminated through NCII to states, districts, schools, and NCII's partners for implementation.